Southern Illinois University Edwardsville School of Nursing DNP Project Rubric NURS 697a or 695p (phase a)

| | Pass | No Credit | Comments |
|-----------------------------|--|--|----------|
| Background | Concisely described the background and nature of the problem in a clearly worded and logical paragraph. Also, writes concise problem statement. | No or minimal paragraph discussing the existence of the problem. | |
| Stakeholders | Clearly described the population of interest and stakeholders involved. | Stakeholders were not clearly described or identified | |
| Literature Review | Literature review was well organized. Ideas flow logically from general to specific and relevant to the topic. Your topic literature review was a significant part of the entire projects group literature review. | Literature review contributed inaccurate content to the team. Lacked organization; choppy and confusing; format difficult to follow. | |
| Significance of the Problem | Provided referenced evidence to support how you addressed the problem. | Did not address the problem. | |
| Framework | Named and referenced the evidence-based, change, or quality improvement model. Gave details concerning your role and ideas that went forth in choosing this model. | Did not name a reference to the evidence base, change, or quality improvement model that your project will use. Did not meet team members at the agreed time. Did not accomplish assignment. | |
| Mechanics | There were minimal misspelled words or grammatical errors in your document. Used APA format. Used scholarly writing. | There were more than five misspellings and/ or systematic errors per page or eight or more in the entire document. Did not use APA format. The readability of the work was seriously hampered by errors. | |
| References | Appropriate use of APA. Citations in the text consistently used APA format. | APA format was not followed. Was insufficiently referenced. | |
| Team Participation | Participated as an effective team member. Demonstrated professional respect. | Uncooperative and/or disrespectful to team. No division of goals was set with team members. | |

Note: Any non-passing items will be resubmitted.

The American Association of Colleges of Nursing (AACN) is requiring specific terminology: DNP Project, Project Team, Team Leader (formerly Committee Chair), and Team Member (reader). AACN (2015) Current Issues and Clarifying Recommendations, Report from the Task Force on the Implementation of the DNP.

Southern Illinois University Edwardsville School of Nursing

DNP Project Rubric NURS 697b or 695p (phase b)

| | Pass | No-Credit | Comments |
|------------------------------------|--|--|----------|
| Purpose statement | Provided your purpose statement and intended objectives outlining details concerning your specific role if in the group. This is generally found in the beginning of the project methods. | Project purpose was not clearly stated. Details concerning the division of project responsibilities were not disclosed. | |
| Project Methods | Implementation strategies, time table, and resource identification were well organized. Ideas flowed logically from general to specific and were relevant to the topic. Outlined method for project implementation. | Project method synthesis contributed inaccurate content. Lacked organization; choppy and confusing; format difficult to follow. | |
| Evaluation or measures | Developed methods to evaluate DNP project outcomes that are measureable. Identifies any appropriate tools. | Did not develop methods to evaluate DNP project outcomes that are measureable. | |
| Collaboration | You provided evidence showing collaboration of your DNP project plan. Clearly incorporated input from community of interest and stakeholders involved. | Did not communicate the DNP plan. Did not meet partners at the agreed time. Did not accomplish assignment. Stakeholders were not clearly described nor identified | |
| Human Subjects | You developed methods to evaluate DNP project outcomes that are measureable. You appraised DNP project for the presence of physical, psychological, or other risks/harms to human subjects, whether direct or indirect. Submitted to IRB, if required. | You did not consider risks/harm to human subjects. | |
| Mechanics | There were minimal misspelled words or grammatical errors in your document. Used APA format. Used scholarly writing. | There were more than five misspellings and/ or systematic errors per page or eight or more in the entire document. Did not use APA format. The readability of the work was seriously hampered by errors. | |
| References | Appropriate use of APA. Citations in the text consistently used APA format. | APA format was not followed. Was insufficiently referenced. | |
| Team Participation (as applicable) | Participated as effective team member. Demonstrated professional respect. | Uncooperative and/or disrespectful to team. No division of goals was set with team members. | |

Southern Illinois University Edwardsville School of Nursing

DNP Project Rubric NURS 697c or 695p (phase c)

| | Pass | No Credit | Comments |
|----------------------------|---|--|----------|
| Communication | Communicates the implementation of the DNP project plan with team members as appropriate. | No or minimal discussion of the implementation of the DNP project. | |
| Stakeholders | Clearly incorporated input from community of interest and stakeholders involved. | Stakeholders were not clearly described or identified. | |
| Information Technology | As applicable, used and identified the information technology systems used for the storage and retrieval of data. Entered data correctly. | Project information technology was not clearly stated. Details concerning the division of project data collection and storage responsibilities were not disclosed. | |
| Collaboration | Provided evidence showing collaboration of your DNP project design problems and identified alternative strategies. Described software used for information gathering. | Did not collaborate with potential or existing DNP plan problems. Did not accomplish assignment. | |
| Unintended Consequences | Identifies and communicates any problematic issues with project design and implementation. Integrated economic, political, ethical, and legal factors as appropriate into any needed plan action steps. | Did not communicate problematic issues or did not develop methods to integrate economic, political, ethical, and legal factors as appropriate into plan action steps. | |
| Mechanics | There were minimal misspelled words or grammatical errors in your document. Used APA format. Used scholarly writing. | There were more than five misspellings and/ or systematic errors per page or eight or more in the entire document. Did not use APA format. The readability of the work was seriously hampered by errors. | |
| References | As applicable, Appropriate use of APA. Citations in the text consistently used APA format. | APA format was not followed. Was insufficiently referenced. | |
| Team Participation | Participated as an effective team member. Demonstrated professional respect. | Uncooperative and/or disrespectful to team. No division of goals was set with team members. | |

Southern Illinois University Edwardsville School of Nursing

DNP Project Rubric NURS 697d or 695p (phase d)

| | 1 | t Rubric NURS 0974 or 095p (| |
|--------------------|--|--|----------|
| | Pass | No Credit | Comments |
| Tools | Provided summary of analysis of data. Appropriately used statistical software as necessary. | No or minimal summary of data. | |
| Results | Clearly identified the DNP project findings, using grafts and charts or illustrations where needed. | Did not identify the DNP project findings. | |
| Limitations | Thoroughly discussed the DNP project limitations and identified alternative strategies. | Your project limitations were not clearly stated. Details concerning the division of identifying the limitation responsibilities were not disclosed, if applicable. | |
| Recommendations | Provided evidence showing collaboration of your DNP project recommendations and future project proposals. | Did not identify project recommendations. Did not meet partners, if applicable, at the agreed time. No division of goals was set with group members, if applicable. Did not accomplish assignment. | |
| Impact | Provided sufficient evidence that you analyzed economic, political, ethical, and legal implications of your DNP project outcomes. | Did not analyze economic, political, ethical, and legal implications of your DNP project outcomes. | |
| Mechanics | There were minimal misspelled words or grammatical errors in your document. Used APA format. Used scholarly writing. | There were more than five misspellings and/ or systematic errors per page or eight or more in the entire document. Did not use APA format. The readability of the work was seriously hampered by errors. | |
| References | As applicable. Appropriate use of APA. Citations in the text consistently used APA format. | APA format was not followed. Was insufficiently referenced. | |
| Team Participation | Participated as an effective team member. Demonstrated professional respect. | Uncooperative and/or disrespectful to team. No division of goals was set with team members. | |

Southern Illinois University Edwardsville School of Nursing

DNP Project Rubric NURS 697e or 695p (phase e)

No Credit Comments

| | Pass | No Credit | Comments |
|--------------------|---|--|----------|
| Executive Summary | Provided executive summary discussing the implementation of the DNP project methods, data analysis, and outcome measures solely or in collaboration with team members, if applicable. | No or minimal paragraph discussing the implementation of the DNP project methods, data analysis, and outcome measures solely or in collaboration with team members, if applicable. | |
| Plan | Clearly completed dissemination of the findings from this project solely or in a group and your understanding of the next steps in development of this practice scholarship. | Did not identify how you will disseminate your DNP project findings solely or as a group. | |
| Dissemination | Thoroughly discussed the DNP project findings in a written executive summary. The written executive summary was clear, concise, and helped my understanding of the project. Completed "spark" upload. | The written executive summary lacked flow or organization. Details concerning the division of inclusions in the executive summary were not disclosed, if applicable. Did not complete "spark" upload. | |
| Outcomes | Provided sufficient evidence that you analyzed economic, political, ethical, and legal implications of your DNP project outcomes. | Did not analyze economic, political, ethical, and legal implications of your DNP project outcomes. | |
| Mechanics | There were minimal misspelled words or grammatical errors in your document. Used APA format. Used scholarly writing. | There were more than five misspellings and/ or systematic errors per page or eight or more in the entire document. Did not use APA format. The readability of the work was seriously hampered by errors. | |
| References | Appropriate use of APA. Citations in the text consistently used APA format. | APA format was not followed. Was insufficiently referenced. | |
| Team Participation | Participated as an effective team member. Demonstrated professional respect. | Uncooperative and/or disrespectful to team. No division of goals was set with team members. | |